Establishing Measures Against the Weaponisation of Genetic Research

GA1 - Rachel Tewari

ISHMUN
International School Hilversum

Forum: General Assembly 1 (GA1)

Issue: Establishing measures against the weaponisation of genetic research

Name: Rachel Tewari

Position: President

Introduction:

Advancements in genetic research have propelled humanity into a new era of scientific achievement, promising ground-breaking insights into the fundamental mechanisms of life itself. The decoding of the human genome, coupled with revolutionary gene-editing technologies like CRISPR-Cas9, has unlocked the potential for targeted modifications of genetic material with unprecedented precision. While these developments hold immense promise for medical treatments, agriculture, and environmental conservation, they also bring to light profound ethical dilemmas and security challenges.

The weaponization of genetic research represents a complex intersection of science, politics, and morality, with implications that extend far beyond the confines of laboratory walls. The ability to engineer pathogens for enhanced virulence or to manipulate the genetic traits of organisms for malevolent purposes raises concerns about the potential for biological warfare and terrorism. Moreover, the proliferation of dual-use technologies—the same tools and techniques used for beneficial research can be repurposed for harmful ends—underscores the need for robust safeguards and international cooperation to mitigate the risks posed by the misuse of genetic knowledge.

Definition of Key terms:

Weaponization: The practice of transforming something typically a technology or idea in order to make it into a weapon. This might entail repurposing equipment, expertise, or assets in order to damage, threaten, or outwit opponents in a conflict or dispute.

Genetic Research: A field of scientific inquiry that focuses on the study of genes, heredity, and genetic variation in living organisms.

Gene editing technologies: Tools that enable scientists to make precise changes to the DNA of living organisms. This can involve adding, removing, or altering specific sequences of genetic material.

Proliferation: The rapid increase or spread of something, typically referring to the growth or spread of something undesirable or harmful.

Biosecurity: Actions taken to guard against the possibility that biological agents or substances such as diseases, poisons, or genetically engineered organisms will damage people, animals, or the environment.

Bioterrorism: The deliberate use of biological agents, such as bacteria, viruses, or toxins, to cause illness, death, or fear among people, animals, or plants, often for political or ideological reasons.

Pharmacogenomics: The study of how a person's genetic composition affects how they react to medications, including how dose needs, safety, and efficacy of a treatment can be impacted by genetic variances.

CRISPR-Cas9: A cutting-edge gene-editing technique that enables remarkable precision and efficiency in targeting adjustments to certain genes by allowing scientists to make exact changes to an organism's DNA.

Bioinformatics: The multidisciplinary area that uses computational techniques and algorithms to analyse and interpret biological data, such as DNA sequences, protein structures, and gene expressions. It blends biology, computer science, and information technology.

Background Information:

Recent years have witnessed tremendous progress in genetics thanks to tools like CRISPR-Cas9, which allow for precise DNA editing. These developments might transform a number of industries. Genetic technologies possess dual-use capabilities, serving both constructive and potentially harmful ends. While offering prospects for disease eradication and agricultural enhancement, genetic research also evokes apprehensions regarding the development of bioweapons or unethical experimentation. Historical instances of biological warfare, exemplified by events like Japan's Unit 731 in World War II, underscore the imperative for vigilance against the

weaponization of biological agents, including those stemming from genetic research. International endeavours to counteract such risks often entail collaborative efforts and the formulation of treaties and accords. Notably, the Biological Weapons Convention (BWC) endeavours to outlaw the creation, manufacture, and accumulation of biological weapons.

Ethical considerations are pivotal in genetic research, prompting numerous establishments to institute protocols and oversight mechanisms to ensure ethical and responsible research practices. These encompass soliciting informed consent from research subjects, mitigating risks to human health and the environment, and subjecting research proposals to rigorous ethical scrutiny. Educating scientists, policymakers, and the populace about the potential dangers associated with genetic research misconduct is vital for nurturing responsible behaviour and fostering transparency within the scientific community. Enhanced awareness can also empower stakeholders to identify and address emerging threats promptly.

The implementation of technological safeguards, such as stringent laboratory procedures, genetic data encryption, and robust biosecurity measures, constitutes an essential strategy for curtailing unauthorized access to sensitive genetic data and diminishing the likelihood of misuse. Given the worldwide scope of genetic exploration and the potential ramifications of its misapplication, international cooperation and information exchange are indispensable for effectively detecting and mitigating emergent hazards. This necessitates the sharing of best practices, data, and intelligence pertinent to biosecurity and genetic research.

Stake holders:

United States of America - Is one of the countries that leads in genetic research internationally, making them extremely relevant to this topic and likely to be one of the countries which would be affected the most by any decision. The USA, additionally, leads in genomics.

The People's Republic China- Is also one of the leading countries in genetic research. In the past China has spent money and time for human genetic data which brought a fear of DNA arm's race. The events of Covid – 19 created opportunities for Chinese companies and institutes to distribute gene-sequencing machines and build

partnerships for genetic research in places where Beijing previously had little or no access, the officials said.

United Kingdom- The United Kingdom in recent years has invested significantly in its genetic research.

Interdisciplinary Research Consortia- Collaborative research groups, such as the Collaborative Initiative for Research Ethics in Environmental Health (CIREEH), bring together experts from diverse fields to address ethical, legal, and social implications of emerging technologies, including genetics.

International Genomic Surveillance Initiatives- Initiatives like the Global Initiative on Sharing Avian Influenza Data (GISAID) or the Global Microbial Identifier (GMI) Project work to facilitate the responsible sharing of genetic data to monitor disease outbreaks and enhance global biosecurity.

Relevant UN treaties, Resolutions and Reports:

Biological Weapons Convention (BWC): https://disarmament.unoda.org/biological-weapons/ (United Nations, “Biological Weapons Convention – UNODA”,ND)

UN Security Council Resolution 1540 (2004): https://disarmament.unoda.org/wmd/sc1540/ **(**UN Security Council Resolution 1540 (2004) – UNODA**,** 2004**)**

UN Security Council Resolution 2118 (2013): **https://www.securitycouncilreport.org/atf/cf/%7B65BFCF9B-6D27-4E9C-8CD3-CF6E4FF96FF9%7D/s_res_2118.pdf** (United Nations Security Council, 2013)

UN Secretary-General's Report on Preventing the Weaponization of Genetic Research (2020): https://www.un.org/en/content/common-agenda-report/assets/pdf/Common_Agenda_Report_English.pdf (UN, 2020)

Previous Attempts:

Biological Weapons Convention (BWC): The BWC, established in 1972, stands as the primary international treaty aimed at prohibiting the development, production, and stockpiling of biological weapons. Although the BWC does not specifically

mention genetic research, its overarching goal of preventing the weaponization of biological agents encompasses advancements in genetic science.

Global Health Security Agenda (GHSA) and the International Genetically Engineered Machine (iGEM): Such initiatives promote collaboration and information exchange to enhance global biosecurity. Collaboration among governments, scientific institutions, and international organizations is essential for sharing best practices, data, and intelligence related to biosecurity and genetic research.

Possible Solutions:

International Cooperation and Information Sharing: To avoid the abuse of genetic research, governments, research institutions, and scientific communities should collaborate internationally to exchange best practices and information. Provide systems for exchanging information in real time about possible risks and new developments in genetic research technology.

Strengthen Regulatory Frameworks: Improve current international agreements by adding clauses that specifically address genetic research and its misuse, such as the Biological Weapons Convention (BWC). Create new laws or policies that are especially suited to the field of genetic research, making sure that research activities and technologies that have the potential for dual usage are closely supervised.

Promote Ethical Guidelines and Responsible Conduct: Create and advance ethical standards for genetic research with a focus on responsibility, openness, and responsible behaviour. Inform scientists, researchers, and students on the possible repercussions of abuse as well as the ethical aspects of their work.

Implement Biosafety and Biosecurity Measures: To prevent unauthorised access to genetic materials and technology, research laboratories and institutions should have strong biosafety and biosecurity procedures. To guarantee adherence to safety procedures and to reduce the possibility of the unintentional or deliberate discharge of hazardous materials, provide resources and training.

Bibliography:

“Best Regions for Genetics Research | INN.” Investingnews.com, investingnews.com/daily/life-science-investing/genetics-investing/genetics-investing-

regions-stocks/#:~:text=The%20high%2Dprofile%20genetics%20controversies. Accessed 15 Apr. 2024.

Church, G. M., Gao, Y., & Kosuri, S. (2012). Next-generation digital information storage in DNA. Science, 337(6102), 1628.

Kahn, L. H. (2018). The future of biosecurity challenges for the United States. Biosecurity and bioterrorism: biodefense strategy, practice, and science, 16(1), 1-3.

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2018). Biodefense in the Age of Synthetic Biology. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

Nuffield Council on Bioethics. (2012). Emerging Biotechnologies: Technology, Choice, and the Public Good. Nuffield Council on Bioethics.

Warrick, Joby, and Cate Brown. “China’s Quest for Human Genetic Data Spurs Fears of a DNA Arms Race.” *Washington Post*, www.washingtonpost.com/world/interactive/2023/china-dna-sequencing-bgi-covid/.

Further Reading :

https://www.bureaubiosecurity.nl/sites/default/files/2020-03/2004%20-%20Fink%20report%20-%20Biotechnology%20Research%20in%20an%20Age%20of%20Terrorism.pdf